Credibility of the microscopic measurement of the tool geometry and its influence on surface asperity after ball end milling

Observation of the tool wear process and the impact of its geometry on the obtained surface unevenness have been the subject of many studies and publications [1–4]. Often, parameterized consumption descriptions are given, providing only the maximum values of losses, but this approach seems insufficient to accurately trace the tool wear and location of its outbreaks.

The use of a focal differentiation microscope for this purpose allows you to make all measurements with one device [6]. Both in measuring the tool and inequality of the obtained surface, the focus variation technology is used, which allows detailed imaging of the complex geometry of rotary tools. In the studies described in this publication, an InfiniteFocus G5 microscope was used at the headquarters of ITA. It enables the detection of rounded edges of several micrometers and the measurement of strongly inclined surfaces. The resolution of this device allows for reliable measurements of surface irregularities in almost the entire range of quantities obtained in machining [5].

Focus Variation

Focus variation microscopy is a surface measurement technology based on finding the best focus position of the optical system relative to the measured sample. The measurement is carried out by scanning in the Z axis and continuously monitoring the change in contrast (sharpness) between neighboring pixels (fig. 1), while at the same time for the write of the focus coordinates for each pixel. By repeating the vertical scans, keeping the gaps, a point cloud reflects the measured surface.

Fig. 1. Course of change of point focus value during scanning in the Z axis

The position of the point is calculated from the maximum value of the fitted focus curve [6].

Course of research

The subject of the research were two spherical, four-spherical cutters, intended for roughing and semi-finishing, and an object with test geometry, engaging both the central (tip) part of the cutter and the remaining spherical part of the tool.

One side of the test part consisted of a cross-shaped slot with spherical geometry at its center. On the other hand, there was a test geometry, consisting of the geometries often encountered in machining: rounding, chamfering, 45° chamfer.
The slots on the first side were made with the same parameters, and the purpose of this treatment was to reach initial wear of the cutting edge. A second test geometry was made with three different cutting parameters. In each case, a three-axis machining was performed, with the spindle axis coinciding with the Z axis of the workpiece.

Both before and after the machining, tool geometry measurements were performed by performing high-resolution scans for each blade. Individual scans of the apical parts of both tools were also made.

**Analysis of results**

The use of the focus variation microscope allowed the measurement of the surface to be tested and the extraction of up to several thousand profiles much faster than would be the case with the contact profilometer measurement. In addition, the impact of the randomness of the profile distribution was offset.

Fig. 2 and the results of the roughness measurement in the form of the arithmetic mean value for 300 profiles distributed evenly over the entire measurement surface. The parameters of each profile were determined with the same filtration parameters, based on the ISO 4288 standard.

Spatial parameters were also examined: $Sa$, $Sq$ and $Sz$, corresponding to parameters of the roughness profile [7]. Fig. 4 shows a clear downward trend for each parameter. Note the significantly lower values of the corresponding parameters for tool 2, which results from a different geometry of its apex (fig. 3).

The central part of the tool 2 has been ground off, eliminating the zero point of the cutter. Thanks to such a treatment, the work characteristics of the tool is similar to a toroidal milling tool, and at the same time allows you to shape a slanted tool.
Fig. 5 and 6 show the effect of superimposing the models obtained before and after machining. On the cutting edge, in the area of the longest-loaded work in the material, losses are visible. There is a concentration of these defects on the flank. The deviation values are within ±3 μm.

Fig. 6. Map of geometrical deviations; tool 2

Reliability of measurement

The focus variation microscope, like any optical device, is susceptible to light effects, including reflections, local x-rays, surface lensing, etc. [6, 8]. All these aspects had to be taken into account when preparing the measurement. A number of test measurements were made, including measurements of the entire geometry in the five-axis mode.

As far as criteria for the reliability of the measurement are concerned, there were no visible artifacts on the surface and stitching errors, as well as no areas with a reduced resolution of the surface.

Measurement of tool 2, having a matt coating, did not generate any artifacts, indicating increased measurement uncertainty. Only slight modifications to the direction of light from external illumination were necessary to avoid sporadic reflections. Both three- and five-axis measurements showed exceptional purity, i.e. lack of artefacts

Measurement of the tool 1, with a glossy coating, with partially exposed native material on the cutting edge, was burdened with a significant number of artifacts, and in the five-axis measurement there were errors in the stitching, especially on the cutting edge (fig. 7). There were also visible local changes in surface resolution, resulting in its smoothing.

Fig. 7. Rejected measurement of tool 1 – visible artifacts and stitching errors

Conclusions

The focus variation microscope is a versatile device that can be used to completely evaluate the effects of manufacturing processes. A multitude of lighting settings and measurement parameters allows to reduce the impact of artifacts and optical effects on the reliability of the measurement.

The 3D models of the machined surfaces showed diametrical differences in the unevenness obtained during machining with tools of different geometry. Both 3D models and the obtained inequality parameters indicate the benefits obtained from removing the zero point of the ball mill. Such a procedure caused a decrease of Ra and Rq by about 50%.

Measurement of the tool before and after machining made it possible to visualize the material losses created on the tool. Available high-resolution measurements allow detection of losses below 1 μm.

Presented results of research carried out in the scope of research task no. 02/22/DSPB/1432 were financed from subsidies for science awarded by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education. The microscopes of focal differentiation used for the needs of the conducted research were provided by the ITA company from Skórzewo near Poznań.
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